



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

injured party. This penalty should not interfere with the civil damages in cases where the money paid by this penalty is not sufficient for the reparation of the damages. For the cases of non-culpability followed by civil responsibility, one cannot speak of a penalty transformable into imprisonment. The damages should be obtained in the ordinary way. A treasury for penalties should be instituted. The treasurer should pay in cases where the guilty, for a sufficient reason, *e. g.*, his death, his flight, etc., does not pay; or he should anticipate alimony in all cases where the victim is very poor and the payment will not be made soon. For this, money earned in prison should be divided into four parts: 1. For the victim until payment of the debt determined by the magistrate. 2. For the State. 3. For the coffer of penalties. 4. For the benefit of the condemned.

Question IV.—How is the incorrigibility of an habitual criminal to be determined; and what measures against these criminals are to be recommended? Prof. von Lilienthal of Marburg answers in brief: Those who have repeated relapses, from which crime appears as an outcome, are to be considered as incorrigible. Two kinds are distinguished, one resting upon hereditary taint or acquired degeneration; the other upon a criminal manner of life industrially. In answer to the second part of the question there should be: (1) Institutions for the high degrees of degeneration; (2) Institutions for the dangerous incorrigible, whether degenerated or not; these might form a special division of the present penitentiaries; (3) Work-houses for those who are not dangerous,—like the present work-houses. Perhaps they could in part be combined with them.

*Internationale kriminalistische Vereinigung; Erste Landesversammlung der Gruppe deutsches Reich.* Halle a. S., den 26 und 27 März, 1890.

The German division of the International Penal Association met in March, and discussed the following questions: 1. Under what presuppositions, is the introduction of the conditional sentence into German legislation expedient? 2. How is the fact of recidivation to be determined legally; and what means of punishment are to be recommended for the incorrigible? After many varied modifications, the Association finally voted on the following questions: 1. Is recidivation to be assumed if the new and former criminal act lie in the same penal grade, as designated by legislation? 2. Should recidivistic superannuation be admitted? 3. Should repeated recidivation form a necessary ground for sharpening the punishment? 4. Is a relatively increased restraint upon freedom to be recommended as a means of punishment for repeated recidivation, with the permission of imprisonment in the workhouse as a consequence? 5. Should the law touch upon regulations which ensure the permanent separation of evil-doers (considered by the penal magistrate as incorrigible), into special divisions: of prison, work-house or insane asylum? 6. Should a conditional release, after five years' detention, be granted to those considered incorrigible? The Association affirmed unanimously questions 1, 2, 3 and 6; and by a large majority questions 4 and 5. Another question was: Is it expedient to prepare jurists practically and theoretically [*i. e.*, by training in psychiatry, criminology, etc.] for the penal executive? (*a*) before; (*b*) after the States' examination. The main question was almost unanimously affirmed. After the laying aside of the subordinate question *a*, subordinate question *b* received a large majority of the votes.

*Compte général de l'administration de la justice criminelle, 1887.* Revue Scientifique. 8 Mars, 1890.

The official report of criminal justice in France for 1887, published in 1889, gives a good idea of French criminality. On looking at the maps